

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,708	12/27/2001	Elke Pogge Von Strandmann	POGGE VON 1813 STRANDMANN(PCT)		
25889 75	590 02/25/2003				
WILLIAM COLLARD			EXAMINER		
	RN BOULEVARD		WITZ, J	WITZ, JEAN C	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		
•			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	, 10/019,708	POGGE VON STRANDMANN, ELKE				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Jean C. Witz	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1) Proposition to communication (a) filed on 0.7.5	Na aa mha r 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 £</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
,		reception on to the morito in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.0. § 119(8	a)-(a) or (i).				
<u> </u>	s have been received					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/019,708 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1, 2, and 4-10 in Paper No.

13 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden on the

Examiner to search all inventions, that the extra expense of filing multiple applications is
a burden on the public. This is not found persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Each different invention encompasses a completely different composition. Proteins are clearly different from DNA, which is different from RNA, all of which are different from antibodies. Each is given its own classification to indicate its separation in the art. Further, proper search of each given composition requires extensive review of multitudinous non-patent literature databases. Therefore, the burden on the Examiner if forced to search all inventions would clearly be undue. Finally, while an assertion of a burden on the public is not a proper basis for traversing a restriction requirement, it remains unclear how the filing of multiple applications is burden on the public. The basis for lack of unity/restriction practice is fundamental fairness – the payment of a single filing fee entitles Applicant to the examination of a single invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 3 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,708

Art Unit: 1651

Claim 10 provides for the use of a composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1-2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crabtree et al. (5,403,712 or 5,620,887).

The cited claims recite a preparation of the elected invention of the protein DCoH. Claim 4 requires the composition contain a transfer medium and Claim 5 defines that medium as a liposome. Claims 6 and 7 require the composition to be in the form of a topical and injection formula. Finally, Claims 8 and 9 include recitations of intended use of the composition.

Art Unit: 1651

The Crabtree et al. patents disclose compositions of the protein DCoH. At col. 3, lines 5-10, the composition is disclosed as containing the naturally occurring protein. At col. 4, lines 63-68, the compositions may be contained within a liposome. Broadest reasonable interpretation of both topical and injection formulas result in the scope of the terms to encompass the composition disclosed in the patents. Finally, recitations of intended use fail to impart patentability to an old composition. Therefore, the cited patents are deemed to anticipate the cited claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

A claim drawn to the treatment of disorders characterized by a reduction in pigmentation comprising the administration of an effective amount of the protein DCoH is deemed free of the prior art and if presented as indicated would be allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,708

Art Unit: 1651

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

February 23, 2003